UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,225	07/10/2006	Keiji Katata	8048-1158	3415
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/06/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St Suite 500			SHEN, KEZHEN	
Alexandria, VA	. 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/577,225	KATATA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kezhen Shen	2627		

	TROZITOTI OTTOTI	2027	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o application, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, Appeal (with appeal fee) in com	affidavit, or other evidence, w pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a	oire later than SIX MONTHS from th ) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI	ne mailing date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 NOTICE OF APPEAL	date on which the petition under 37 of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for r later than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in c	ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 r	nust be filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE)	r consideration and/or search (		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate		he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)		nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Non-Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	n(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would b non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		) 🔲 will be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	n, but before or on the date of fill I and sufficient reasons why the	ing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> affidavit or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fi entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces</li> </ol>	to overcome all rejections under	er appeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explan REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ation of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	d but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues against Takahashi and Suzuki teaching an area for updating and a default area which is different from the area for updating. Examiner disagrees. The examiner views both Suzuki and Takahashi as a whole to reject the limitations of claim 24. Suzuki teaches a recording medium with an update area ([0049]) and Takahashi et al. teach two update area for an anchor to defect lists which identifies defect lists and updates them according (133, 126 and 152 of Fig. 1, Fig. 5, [0065], [0081], [0084], [0087], [0089]). The limitation of claim 24 only defines the update area, which update area, which is the update area taught by Suzuki, is different from the anchor area, which is the anchor for the defect list taught by Takahashi et al. Therefore, the application still stands rejected in view of the previous office action.